IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 22194 of 1999

Between:

V. Naga Raju, S/o Jogi Naidu @ Jogulu,
Mulajampa Village, Gokivada Post,
Rambilli Mandal, Visakhapatnam District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Govt. of A.P. rep. by Chief Engineer,

Irrigation & CAD, Erramanzil, Hyderabad.

2. Superintendent Engineer, I & CAD,

Govt of A.P., near ZP Office, Vizag.

3. Executive Engineer, I & CAD,
near ZP Office, Vizag.

4. Divisional Engineer, I & CAD,
Yellamanchilli, Vizag District.

5. Assistant Engineer, I & CAD, Govt of A.P.
Yellamanchilli, Vizag District.

...RESPONDENTS

* * *

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents to issue letter of credit to ensure payment of an amount of Rs.12,100/- with interest at the rate of 14% per annum from 1990 onwards.

2. It is the case of the petitioner as stated in the affidavit that he was entrusted with 'repair work of Challamalla Pallapu Kaluva (near Ganaparthi village)' and he completed the work as per the entrustment and the value of the work completed by the petitioner is to the tune of Rs.12,100/-. It is his case that in spite of completion of the work, though the said works are recorded, the said amount is not paid yet.

3. Though the writ petition is of the year1999, no counter-affidavit is filed. Learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents placed parawise remarks sent by the respondents. Basing on the remarks the petitioner is entitled for an amount of Rs.11,408/- for the works he completed which was also recorded. Even as per the instructions, which are placed before this court, there are no valid reasons for not arranging such an amount for the completed work, which was also recorded.

4. Though there is a discrepancy in the claim, as the petitioner is claiming an amount of Rs.12,100/-, however, respondents have admitted an amount of Rs.11,408/-, in that view of the matter as the writ petition is of the year 1999 and as no counter-affidavit is filed, I dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to pay the entire amount due to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if such amount is still due as on today.

5. Subject to the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.

Date: 29th September 2005.

BSB